



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Candidate Name: Maria Hadden

Phone: 773-575-0075

Candidate for Ward #: 49

E-Mail: [contact@mariafor49.org](mailto:contact@mariafor49.org)

Campaign Name: Maria Hadden for the 49th ward

Campaign Manager Name: Ibie Hart

Campaign Address: 1505 W Morse

***A completed questionnaire must be received by Wednesday, January 25, to have answers distributed to our stakeholders before early voting starts. Please return to [rachelbr@fotp.org](mailto:rachelbr@fotp.org).***

### ABOUT YOUR BACKGROUND AND PRIORITIES RELATED TO PARKS

A. Briefly describe any accomplishments or projects you have been involved with related to parks.

Response:

- I used menu funds to make capital improvements at Willye B White and Triangle Park which included the creation of a marked asphalt walking/running track and an accessible, paved access picnic area with three tables at Triangle Park.
- I supported and advocated for tennis court improvements at Rogers Beach that included resurfacing of the courts and striping for both tennis and pickleball.
- Working with community and government partners, we were able to get the Park District to make our lakefront parks safer for everyone with the installation of flotation devices at all of our lakefront parks.
- I was able to get emergency stabilization of the three lakefront parks most impacted by the 2019-2020 storm season at Juneway, Rogers and Howard beaches. We also have commitments from the Park District for restoration of the beaches pending the 3-year Army Corps of Engineers study currently underway.
- I donated funds personally to support movies in the park night at Pottawatomie Park.
- I advocated on behalf of residents to have basketball rims reinstalled at neighboring parks (Pottawattomie, Paschen)
- I'm supporting neighbors in our community efforts to rename Paschen Park after the Pollard Family.
- I've supported the efforts of the Leone Beach Park Advisory Council to increase investments in the field house and make it a destination for environmental education, nature play, and water access.

B. Are you planning to introduce legislation or initiatives to address any environmental justice issues? Do you have particular environmental priorities, park programming priorities, or see connections with other priorities for your community? If so, please identify those here.

Response:



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Yes, lakefront protection is a priority and has been for me since taking office. I'm particularly committed to making sure community voice is at the center of the Army Corps process and that sustainable/green solutions are prioritized for lakeshore stabilization over built infrastructure solutions.

I'm one of the current Alderpersons leading the efforts to bring back a department of environment for the city and locally have established a climate action taskforce to have constituent identified priorities for my next term.

C. Chicago's motto is *Urbs in Horto* (City in a Garden). In the most recent *We Will Chicago* planning process, park discussions were thinly and inadequately spread across all the pillars and not a central focus of planning. How will you prioritize parks or demonstrate that parks are critical to healthy, thriving communities and the entire city and its residents?

Response:

I will continue to be a vocal advocate for our parks and believe that my leadership as alderperson of the 49th Ward gives us unique opportunities to testify as to the integral role our parks play in our daily lives and community activities. With parks placed throughout the ward and nearly our entire eastern border being parkland, the parks are integral to our lives and wellbeing here.

### GENERAL QUESTIONS

*Below are 11 topics related to parks. Please share your thoughts and policies on each subject using the list of bulleted questions as a guide.*

#### 1) EQUITY PLAN FOR CHICAGO'S PARKS

Forty years ago, On May 13, 1983, the [Chicago Park District entered into a consent decree with the federal government](#), resulting from a three-year investigation and a 1982 federal lawsuit addressing racial discrimination in the allocation of resources within the park district. The decree sought to promote equal and non-discriminatory treatment of Black and Latino communities by establishing rigorous and detailed systems, procedures and schedules that could assure equity, responsiveness, and transparency for these neighborhoods and their parks. It was in effect for six years, and Friends of the Parks registered our displeasure when the court lifted the order even though the Chicago Park District was not in full compliance with the terms of the Consent Decree.

Many other large cities have a written equity plan or framework to guide work for their park district, but Chicago has no such plan or codified standards despite documented racial inequity in park access and resources per our 2018 State of the Parks report. Public parks on the South and West Sides of Chicago often have less access to building facilities, fewer programs, and less investment in parks.

- What policies do you support to address this inequity and improve the park-going experience in South and West Side neighborhood parks?



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

**RESPONSE EQUITY PLAN FOR CHICAGO'S PARKS:** I think we still see inequities in our park programming, facilities and maintenance. The Park District should have an equity plan for service delivery.

### 2) GREEN SPACE AND CREATING NEW PARKS

While more than [98% of Chicagoans](#) live within a 10-minute walk of green space or a playground, **Chicago lags behind most other high-density cities in park acreage per capita and total city acreage committed to public parkland (9%)**. Residents' access to recreational amenities and facilities they hope to find in their parks is not equitable across the city. The West Side of the city has the least parks or green space. While most parkland is on the city's South Side, these parks receive less capital investment and programmatic funding than North Side parks and much of it is undeveloped or underdeveloped brownfield sites that do not yet support much or any public use. These facts demonstrate that Chicago continues to experience systemic racial inequity when it comes to accessing or creating new green spaces.

- How will you prioritize the creation of new green spaces and parks in your ward? How will you include the community in the planning and development process?
- What metrics or factors will you use to determine which communities should receive new green space? What equity considerations will you include in your assessment?
- The 10-minute Trust for Public Land “walk score” mentioned above includes Chicago Park District spaces (parks, playlots, and beaches) and any green space in the city, including Forest Preserves, spaces managed by NeighborSpace, the 19 boulevards managed by the Chicago Department of Transportation, and privately owned public spaces (POPs). With all these various groups stewarding green spaces, coordination and comprehensive planning can be difficult and complex. How will you sort through the different managers to coordinate increasing access to parkland and green spaces in your ward?
- The Illinois state standard is 10 acres of green space for every 1000 residents. As urban planning and development occur in your ward, how will you ensure there is adequate green space for the community to thrive?
- Developers and the City have often tried to assert that publicly owned private spaces (POPs) will always be accessible to the public, just as if they belonged to the Chicago Park District. Historically POPs have not always been equally accessible to all residents as the private owner ultimately controls the space. What is your vision for ensuring true public access to new green spaces being created in mega-developments and other planned developments?
- As the Chicago Park District plans construction of new facilities (pickleball courts, basketball courts, fieldhouses, etc.), how will you allocate resources to address the realities of racial



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

inequity in Chicago and historic disinvestment and neglect of some communities? How will you include the community in determining what facilities and amenities are needed?

- Golf courses count as green space and as park acres for residents, but the use is restricted to those playing a particular sport and paying a fee for service. What initiative do you support to make such spaces dual purpose or to expand usage to more residents?
- As Chicago deindustrializes and closes former industrial sites, like General Iron and Damen Silos, large swaths of land and former buildings can be re-imagined and re-developed. For example, with the recent closing of General Iron in Lincoln Park and the development of Lincoln Yards, neighborhood advocates have asked for the site to be used as part of a new North Branch Park and Preserve. The preserve could expand access to the river for the whole city and decrease the green space deficit the community currently experiences and will get worse with the added residential density proposed for Lincoln Yards. How do you think comprehensively about the opportunity these sites and the transition across the city offers? How will you coordinate a vision across the city using these spaces to increase green space for all communities? How will you prioritize which neighborhoods or communities should experience development of these new de-industrialized green spaces first?

**RESPONSE GREEN SPACE AND CREATING NEW PARKS:** I think the Park District needs a vision and goals to meet green space standards, address past and current inequities and to meet their mission. It's currently unclear how they create new spaces, prioritize resources for existing spaces, or what the vision for the future of the parks is. As an alderperson, I work to advocate for resources for parks in my ward, but the processes available for that are opaque. There is no real cohesive, centralized way to engage with Parks on a city level. I think there should be.

Outside of Parks, alderpersons have access to Open Space Impact Fees to create new greenspaces in our communities. As a community with very little unprogrammed open space, it's challenging to find ways to utilize these funds. We have some projects in queue for a new greenspace at a high school, along the Metra tracks, and piloting a vertical garden/shade wall for a school, but the current code governing how we can spend OSIF funds is limiting and I plan to work to amend the code in the coming term to allow for more uses of the funds to allow for easier creation of green spaces.

### 3) LAKEFRONT

Chicago's lakefront is a gem of the city and our city is unique in preserving the lake for all people. Currently, 26 of the 30 miles are publicly available for use and protected for the public, but two miles to the north and south remain cut off from public parks, beaches, and paths.

- What policies do you support to ensure the lakefront remains free and clear for all Chicagoans to enjoy? Please consider both the preservation of space (such as the Lakefront Protection



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Ordinance and Public Trust Doctrine) and practices that affect use (such as facilities and policing).

- What efforts do you support to increase access to the city's lakefront by pedestrians, transit riders, cyclists, and people using wheelchairs and other mobility supports?

**RESPONSE LAKEFRONT:** I support the lakefront protection ordinance and our community enjoys the benefits of those protections everyday. I'd like to see expansion of our publically accessible shoreline and envision all of our publics shoreline being connected from North to South in the near future. I'd like to see a City-led governing committee that works to plan and manage our shoreline. When our shoreline was being ravaged by high lake levels and storms at the beginning of my term, I requested a meeting with the government body that manages the lakefront. I ended up with Parks, CDOT, IL Dept. of Natural Resources, and the Army Corps of Engineers sitting around a table with me with no coehsive plan for our shoreline. They can work well together for emergency management, but we need something more cohesive and permanent charged with the protection and management of this precious resource.

### 4) ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE

In February 2020, Chicago declared a climate change emergency in response to severe storms and lakeshore erosion. Lakefront parks and beaches across the city suffer a battering of lake waves and the loss of sand and erosion at alarming rates. It is estimated that the storms of 2020 caused [\\$37 million in damages](#) to beaches and parks. Erosion, intense storms, and the failing of concrete revetments, metal girders and rock barriers installed by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have caused [4 beaches in Roger Park](#) to be washed away and closed; revealed infill at Rainbow Beach as sand was lost; resulted lake water levels reached the top of the stairs at Calumet Park Beach House; and led to the permanent closing of a third of the [Northerly Island walking path](#)—merely a year after it was opened in 2015.

The threat is only going to continue. Concrete, metal and rock barriers push higher water to unprotected places. Lake levels have always fluctuated, but scientists believe lake levels will continue to trend higher. Regardless of lake level, the increased severity of storm surges due to climate change place our [shoreline communities at greater risk](#) of flooding and damage.

**Nature-based solutions are a resilient, long-term, cost-effective method to manage the impact of climate change along the lake and across the city.** This approach uses natural ecosystems to provide protection such as wetlands for flood mitigation; trees and prairie spaces to combat the heat island effect; and mangroves to reduce the impact of waves, storm surge, and coastal erosion. These ecosystems also soften climate impacts, such as extreme weather, and reduce climate pollution by capturing carbon dioxide from the air and sequestering it in plants and soils.

Parkland and beaches are already a nature-based solution. The sand, soil, and plantings provide buffering and absorption that helps manage the fluctuation in lake levels and more frequent and severe



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

storms. These green spaces furnish lakefront with recreational or contemplative areas and habitats for humans and wildlife to enjoy.

- How will you support the preservation or expansion of parkland, native plants, and healthy, mature trees and new tree planting across the city to combat climate change impacts that affect the shoreline and inland communities?

**RESPONSE ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE:** I'm an advocate for sustainable, climate resilient lakeshore stabilization methods over heavy reliance on concrete-based infrastructure solutions. Nature based solutions are more resilient and we can see how well the beaches with these solutions in place did in comparison to those without them during the 2019-2020 storm season.

### WARD SPECIFIC QUESTION

#### **ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR WARD 49**

- What will you do to support vulnerable shoreline communities from the threat of flooding, erosion, and the impact of climate change?

**RESPONSE ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE:** We're making sure that community voice is at the center of planning for the next round of shoreline erosion management with the City and the Army Corps of Engineers. My team and I worked very quickly in 2019 to raise awareness about the threat to our shoreline, residences, and critical infrastructure we were facing and to bring resources and emergency support to the issue. This is a priority issue for our ward and the City. So much so that it's been a continual issue I've worked on in my first term in efforts to divest from fossil fuels, create a new dept. of environment, and to engage residents in helping to make our community a demonstration ground for climate change solutions.

#### **5) COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND PARK ADVISORY COUNCILS**

About 200 of Chicago's over 600 parks, beaches, playlots, and playgrounds have recognized Park Advisory Councils (PACs), resident groups of committed volunteers who support their parks through volunteerism, fundraising and other activities. Many PACs want influence over programs and maintenance and decisions about how parks will be used, but in reality, many feel left out of those decisions. At times, new permits are handed out for large events or art installations and PAC members or leadership don't find out until the event is underway or changes are being made to the park.

- Across the city there is no required or standard process around public notice and community input for park projects. How will you make sure that the public is informed and engaged in



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

development, capital improvements, urban planning, large event or space rentals, and park planning decisions in your ward?

- How will you engage with Park Advisory Councils in urban planning, budgeting, funding decisions, considering capital improvement, determining park programming, and deciding what events or installations are placed in parks in your ward?
- How will you support the formation or the rebuilding of Park Advisory Councils in your ward?

**RESPONSE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND PARK ADVISORY COUNCILS:** My office has made engaging PACs on questions of investments and improvements a priority. We've worked with PACs at our parks to fund capital improvements and programming. We also partner with them to share programming and events to bring residents together. Using our participatory budgeting program has also been a great way to have a transparent process to educate residents around capital improvements and bring more investments to our parks.

### 6) PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE

The Park District generates most of its income from property taxes, and over the last decade, its share of those taxes has declined from 6.1% to 4.7%. The average property tax unit pays \$260 towards the Park District's budget.

To supplement income, the Chicago Park District has increased revenue generating activity – raising fees for harbor and golf courses; issuing permits and renting out parks for private festivals; contracting with more concessionaires or other vendors; adding billboard or advertising; renting space for Amazon lockers; increased renting out park buildings and facilities as special event spaces. Some of these activities close off parkland and public facilities for weeks during the summer and over popular weekends, damage park facilities, and create a distraction for park users who seek tranquility or a natural oasis. While some renters and permit holders repair park damage, it takes months for vegetation to regrow or capital improvements to be finished, impeding park use far beyond the closures.

Some of the most notable examples of these revenue generating activities have been new contracts with Lollapalooza, the NASCAR race planned for 2023, and mega-festivals in Douglass Park. Residents across the city cite a feeling that parks have been commercialized and are no longer public, community spaces for people.

- As park district spaces have refocused on revenue-generating outside events, many community partners and programs have been booted from park district buildings and grounds, or can no longer afford to use the space. What policies do you support to prioritize the use of park space for residents and community groups over revenue generating activities and improve community engagement by private groups seeking to operate for profit in public parks?



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- The income from these events does not go to a specific park, but rather to the Park District budget as a whole, leaving some residents to feel their park bears the brunt of the burden without reaping benefits. How do we ensure the impact and benefits of these rental events or fees are spread equitably?
- In the need to find new funding sources or expand programming, the Park District often balances private or non-profit partners and community programming, users, or needs. At times the interests of these different park users can be at odds. One example is a private baseball program that would like to pay for and install new lights at [Welles Park](#), but some community members are opposed. How will you manage the competing interest of various stakeholders when money is involved?
- When permits are issued for large music festivals or events, like the NASCAR race, the Park District does not have to consult alderpeople, but the event requires resources and time from multiple city departments, such as the police department to manage crowds, CDOT to reorganize traffic, and Streets and Sanitation to clean up. What policies do you support to increase coordination between city agencies, community members, and the Park District when determining what large events happen in parks?
- To what extent should the Park District's budget rely on private, revenue generating events, vendors and concession stands, fees for service, advertisements (i.e. billboards), and renting the parks to for-profit groups?

**RESPONSE PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE:** I think that the commitments to large special events like music festivals or the NASCAR race should have to go through a more robust engagement process and a Council sign off for the reasons that you've laid out above. It's not just Park resources being used. I also think that PACs should have a strong role in deciding and engaging the community and that some type of community benefits agreement for the impacted neighborhood should be included as well. I can't speak to how much of their budget should rely on private event revenue, but I do believe that the need for strategic planning and visioning would help to create guidance for the Parks on what percentage of their revenue should be dependent on it.

### 7) BUDGET PROCESS AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION

The Chicago Park District's annual budget process offers a public hearing, an online forum for input, and a vote at an open board meeting. It is questionable whether there is adequate space for resident input or sufficient power and influence ascribed to their input. Many park activists are unaware that the online forums exist or how they would have access to them and they face barriers to attending the public meetings.





## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- The Chicago Park District should actively engage park stakeholders across the city in the budgeting process, particularly with the Capital Improvement Projects. Efforts should be made to understand and address any barriers to participation from historically disenfranchised populations. What will you do to help the Chicago Park District find ways to extend more budgetary control to the public and incorporate community participation into their annual budgets?
- Each year, the Park District accepts submissions for capital improvement requests from a variety of sources. More than one in three requests from elected officials are approved, while only about one in five requests from the public are approved. As an Alderman, how would you use your influence to support capital improvement requests for parks in your ward and across the city?
- Park Advisory Councils have advocated for Menu Money, Tax Increment Financing District Funding, Capital Improvement Programs, Open Space Impact Fees, and Special Service Area Program Funding as resources to supplement Park District funding. As Alderman you have access to and influence over a variety of city funding streams to support projects in your ward. How will you use your influence to support investment and improvement of parks in your ward and the city? What funding priorities would you promote?

**RESPONSE BUDGET PROCESS AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION:** As alderperson, I support the budget requests from my community. I think that when we have good communication and participatory processes to know what community wants and needs, we should be able to have agreement on what OUR budget priorities are. That way I can be advocating with and for my community, not in competition with them.

### 8) PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES

In the South and West sides of the city, larger park or natural areas are not easily accessible by public transportation. Many parks and natural areas typically lack accessibility for people with disabilities, or only a portion are accessible. While some heavily-trafficked areas like the Lakefront trail may tend to have open and clean bathrooms, many other parks have dirty, locked, or no bathroom facilities. Without access to a bathroom, the time residents can spend or activities they can engage in at a park are limited. Some parks lack lights, clear parking spaces, signs at the entrance, multi-lingual signage or signage throughout to identify elements of the park. In some cases, roads leading into parks are blocked with gates and barricades at all hours.

- What steps will you take to ensure our parks, playlots, beaches, and trails are welcoming and accessible places for everyone?



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- Pet owners bring their dogs to parks, playlots, paths, and beaches across the city. Dogs can also be a barrier to other residents' enjoyment or a threat to wildlife. How will you engage with various points of views about dogs in parks?
- As the Chicago Park District plans improvement and maintenance of fieldhouses, playgrounds, and other recreation facilities, how will you prioritize resources based on historic disinvestment faced by some parks and communities? How will you include the community in the decision-making process? How will a commitment to racial equity impact your actions?
- Field houses across the city are often closed on weekends and evenings. These closures mean people lack access to bathrooms, staff assistance or programming. Similarly, park bathrooms outside field house are often locked, or many parks have no bathroom. What will you do to make sure park field houses and the resource they provide can reach more residents?
- Often, new construction developments are allowed to use developer-owned "publicly accessible" green spaces to satisfy open space requirements rather than Chicago Park District-controlled land. When private corporations dictate the development, management, and public access to green spaces, it seldom leads to park spaces desired by Chicago. These privately administered spaces are subject to different operating hours and rules than local parks. Additionally, when departments in the City government collaborate for new development or capital improvement to be "shared," the park users miss out on access or design of the space. An example is Whitney Young's Sports Complex, funded entirely with tax-increment financing. What is your vision to ensuring true public access to new green spaces, facilities, or amenities that are a funded with public dollars or promise public access during construction? How will you use your position to support that vision?

## WARD SPECIFIC QUESTION

### **PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES ADDITIONAL QUESTION** **WARD 49**

- [Touhy Park](#) has seen an encampment in the park for almost 2 years. The Park District has moved some activities to neighboring parks. The Police Department and the City has a policy not to evict people staying on public land. What policies and actions do you support to address this encampment that will manage the needs of the various people involved?

**RESPONSE PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES:** The park district needs to prioritize accessibility and I think that, again, a strategic planning and visioning process involving our community would help to raise this issue to the forefront of their planning and decision making. Park facilities have seen reductions in access that have been unacceptable - the park needs to do better and I'll continue to advocate for the facilities to be more open. We'd also like to see an expansion of bathrooms and other



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

amenities. There are lower cost, sustainable options for this that the Park District refuses to consider at this time. And I'm hoping that continued advocacy will change that.

On dogs - as a dog owner, I understand and appreciate the need for dog friendly spaces, but in our ward we've had many conflicts around dog owners allowing their dogs to take over space not intended for dogs and not responding in a neighborly way when others confront them about it. We need clearer Park policies and enforcement so that people without dogs can use the playlots, tennis courts and other spaces intended for people without having to fight a neighbor. Clearly communicated rules that are enforced can make a big difference. Parks should also acknowledge the need for dog friendly spaces and work to amend their very rigid rules around their creation. For example, we have a strong demand from residents for a second DFA in our ward and agreement and support from a PAC for where it should be. However, the Park District says the space is ineligible due to failure to meet a minimum park size. Neighbors using this park every day are the experts on what the problem is and have presented a very reasonable solution that would make everyone happy. The Park District's outdated policy, and unwillingness to adapt, is standing in the way.

On Touhy Park - the Park District isn't subject to the City's policy changes. I've been managing a very difficult situation for nearly two years with the Park District claiming that they're working on a policy for dealing with an encampments but never producing anything. My office, the City, and community groups have been providing resources and services to people experiencing homelessness in the park. We've held three housing events and matched 84 people with housing. Homelessness is a crisis. In May of 2022 we had reduced the population of people living in the park to 4 persons due to our housing efforts. I met with Parks to request they come up with a plan to prevent the encampment from growing thereby preventing programming in the greenspace. Parks claimed they had a plan, they didn't. Here we are in January 2023 and because I've fought to hold Parks accountable, we finally have a timetable from them on when they will secure the Touhy Park's greenspace for restoration and restore programming to the park. Through our housing efforts with the City, we should have the last people housed in early March and Parks will then begin enforcing their curfew so that new people can't set up. We will also have a restoration budget for bringing the park back into good condition.

Overall, the City and the Park District need to work together better. We've really been left to our own to manage a very difficult situation with Touhy Park and the result has been many unhappy residents who want to see neighbors housed and want the park to be open for service. The answers don't require new policies, but coordination and leadership at a central city and Park level to take action.

### 9) PARK PROGRAMMING

Annually, more than 350,000 people participate through the Chicago Park District in thousands of sports, recreational, cultural, and environmental programs across the city. Yet the distribution of programs is often inequitable and mismatched, failing to meet the needs of local communities effectively. Even preliminary research indicates dramatic inequities across the city in the amount of programs and services offered. At times, programs feel dropped into a community without community input or



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

research. In addition, the programs families are looking to for their health, cultural, and recreation may also be financially out of reach.

Where processes existed under the 1983 Consent Decree to ensure equitably distributed and responsive programming, many parks now lack the programs that are culturally relevant and affordable.

- Parks can be essential resources for people of all ages in a community, especially because they offer classes, camps, pools, exercise equipment and facilities, and chances to socialize. What resources will you invest in parks to prioritize use by diverse populations – seniors, families and children, people with disabilities, and teenagers? How will you ensure park programming is culturally relevant to BIPOC communities that have experienced exclusion and disinvestment?
- What will you do to support residents and community groups to have access to park space and programming for no or low cost?
- Parks across Chicago do not have the same access to sufficient, culturally relevant, and affordable programs or have space maintained to meet the needs of the community. What will you do to ensure equity in park programming and resources (facilities, maintenance, etc.) for parks in your ward and across Chicago, keeping in mind the historic underinvestment in West and South Side parks?
- How will you include the community in program design and program offering in their parks to make sure it is sufficient, relevant, and affordable and to proactively account for external community problems related to public safety and violence?

**RESPONSE PARK PROGRAMMING:** I uplift and advertise park programs as much as possible because they are of great benefit to our residents. I have noticed that different parks seem to have different capacity and resources for doing outreach about their programming. This lack of consistency is something that I'd likee to see addressed. I'll be working with PACs to try and help them with their outreach plans so that even more residents knwo about the great programming available to them at the parks.

### 10) SAFETY

Feelings of safety and security greatly shape how park spaces are experienced. While some park users feel vulnerable when parks are too empty, others want quiet, wide-open, empty spaces. Some fear gun violence, and others fear oppressive police presence. Some are worried about playground conditions, and others are worried about vandalism. Some want more lights or call boxes. All of these are important considerations as we address safety concerns.



## 2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

In the summer of 2022, as a response to the death of Chicago teenager, a curfew was enacted at Millennium Park to restrict event access to the park for young residents not accompanied by an adult or attending a ticketed event. In multiple Listening Tour sessions in different parts of Chicago, we heard similar statements that represent a specific loss of access to park amenities after violence occurred in their parks. If the response to select instances of violence in parks is to close, restrict, or remove certain amenities, this seems like the beginning of a very dangerous trend of the Chicago Park District punishing entire communities for the actions of a few.

- How will you approach safety in a way that does not unfairly punish entire communities for the behavior of the few?
- How would you ensure residents of all ages can access public amenities while balancing concerns for public safety?
- What steps will you take or policies will you support to increase the public safety of Chicago's parks, playlots, playgrounds, paths, and beaches beyond increasing police presence?

**RESPONSE SAFETY:** The safety issue that most concerned our residents was the lack of flotation devices and inadequate signage around water safety. After years of advocacy and too many tragic drownings, we finally got the Park District to see things our way. Changes in curfew doesn't do anything to make parks safer. If anything, having more people in the park and more staffing is what will make them safer.

### 11) PARK DISTRICT OVERSIGHT

- The Chicago Park District is not a department of the city government, but a separate governmental entity. However, the Chicago Park District's Board of Commissioners' members are nominated by the mayor and must be approved by the Committee on Special Events, Cultural Affairs and Recreation. What policies do you support to make sure nominees have **demonstrated relevant experience, background and skills sets related to parks?**
- How will you use your role to provide oversight to the parks, playlots and beaches in your ward?

**RESPONSE PARK DISTRICT OVERSIGHT:** We review credentials and experience of the Mayor's nominees, but I think that there should be a set of minimum qualifications and standards as well as guidelines for what representation should look like on the Board that the Mayor must follow. For instance, members who have been on PACs, members who have participated in park programs or had family members who have, members who have worked in a park, youth voices, elderly voices, and geographic representation.