



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Candidate Name: Ruth Cruz

Phone: 773.366.2186

Candidate for Ward #: 30

E-Mail: RuthCruzfor30@gmail.com

Campaign Name: Ruth Cruz for 30th Ward Alderwoman

Campaign Manager Name: Kevin Wunder

Campaign Address: 2831 N Moody Ave Chicago, IL 60634

A completed questionnaire must be received by Friday, January 27 to have answers distributed to our stakeholders as early voting starts. Please return to rachelbr@fotp.org.

ABOUT YOUR BACKGROUND AND PRIORITIES RELATED TO PARKS

A. Briefly describe any accomplishments or projects you have been involved with related to parks.

Response:

I have volunteered with annual park cleanups in the community, I have volunteered with many community events at our parks such as Chopin, Blackhawk, Reese and Kosciuszko.

B. Are you planning to introduce legislation or initiatives to address any environmental justice issues? Do you have particular environmental priorities, park programming priorities, or see connections with other priorities for your community? If so, please identify those here.

Response:

Environmental justice and making Chicago a leader in Green energy and solutions is a part of my platform, on my website and on campaign literature. I will support legislation that incentivizes all new developments to have more green space, but also must utilize certain percentages of clean energy and solar energy. New developments specifically in my ward will have to show a serious commitment to walkability and green space before I would show any support.

C. Chicago's motto is Urbs in Horto (City in a Garden). In the most recent *We Will Chicago* planning process, park discussions were thinly and inadequately spread across all the pillars and not a central focus of planning. How will you prioritize parks or demonstrate that parks are critical to healthy, thriving communities and the entire city and its residents?

Response:

I think there should be more emphasis on neighborhood parks and not just parks in areas that tourists visit. I also think smaller parks should play a critical part of the planning process. We should make sure all parks big and small have updated facilities and maintenance. Only then do I think we will live up to the motto Urbs in Horto. We have a long way to go.

GENERAL QUESTIONS

Below are 11 topics related to parks. Please share your thoughts and policies on each subject using the list of bulleted questions as a guide.



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

1) EQUITY PLAN FOR CHICAGO'S PARKS

Forty years ago, On May 13, 1983, the [Chicago Park District entered into a consent decree with the federal government](#), resulting from a three-year investigation and a 1982 federal lawsuit addressing racial discrimination in the allocation of resources within the park district. The decree sought to promote equal and non-discriminatory treatment of Black and Latino communities by establishing rigorous and detailed systems, procedures and schedules that could assure equity, responsiveness, and transparency for these neighborhoods and their parks. It was in effect for six years, and Friends of the Parks registered our displeasure when the court lifted the order even though the Chicago Park District was not in full compliance with the terms of the Consent Decree.

Many other large cities have a written equity plan or framework to guide work for their park district, but Chicago has no such plan or codified standards despite documented racial inequity in park access and resources per our 2018 State of the Parks report. Public parks on the South and West Sides of Chicago often have less access to building facilities, fewer programs, and less investment in parks.

- What policies do you support to address this inequity and improve the park-going experience in South and West Side neighborhood parks?

RESPONSE EQUITY PLAN FOR CHICAGO'S PARKS:

Chicago should implement an equity plan for its parks modeled on ideas from other large cities. I also think an emphasis on neighborhood parks is crucial including the smaller pocket parks. The emphasis should be on upgrading and general maintenance of aging facilities such as playgrounds, pools and field houses. These things are often critical for diverse and low income communities, especially those that live in multi-family housing with no or limited access to private yards.

Good parks, nature, and walkability are critical to mental health as well, and that is another major part of my platform. We have a mental health crisis (not just Chicago but nationally), and we are seeing the consequences of this especially in our West and South sides. Countless studies show that when people and children have access to quality parks and green space, it improves overall mental health.

2) GREEN SPACE AND CREATING NEW PARKS

While more than [98% of Chicagoans](#) live within a 10-minute walk of green space or a playground, **Chicago lags behind most other high-density cities in park acreage per capita and total city acreage committed to public parkland (9%)**. Residents' access to recreational amenities and facilities they hope to find in their parks is not equitable across the city. The West Side of the city has the least parks or green space. While most parkland is on the city's South Side, these parks receive less capital investment and programmatic funding than North Side parks and much of it is undeveloped or underdeveloped brownfield sites that do not yet support much or any public use. These facts demonstrate that Chicago



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

continues to experience systemic racial inequity when it comes to accessing or creating new green spaces.

- How will you prioritize the creation of new green spaces and parks in your ward? How will you include the community in the planning and development process?
- What metrics or factors will you use to determine which communities should receive new green space? What equity considerations will you include in your assessment?
- The 10-minute Trust for Public Land “walk score” mentioned above includes Chicago Park District spaces (parks, playlots, and beaches) and any green space in the city, including Forest Preserves, spaces managed by NeighborSpace, the 19 boulevards managed by the Chicago Department of Transportation, and privately owned public spaces (POPs). With all these various groups stewarding green spaces, coordination and comprehensive planning can be difficult and complex. How will you sort through the different managers to coordinate increasing access to parkland and green spaces in your ward?
- The Illinois state standard is 10 acres of green space for every 1000 residents. As urban planning and development occur in your ward, how will you ensure there is adequate green space for the community to thrive?
- Developers and the City have often tried to assert that publicly owned private spaces (POPs) will always be accessible to the public, just as if they belonged to the Chicago Park District. Historically POPs have not always been equally accessible to all residents as the private owner ultimately controls the space. What is your vision for ensuring true public access to new green spaces being created in mega-developments and other planned developments?
- As the Chicago Park District plans construction of new facilities (pickleball courts, basketball courts, fieldhouses, etc.), how will you allocate resources to address the realities of racial inequity in Chicago and historic disinvestment and neglect of some communities? How will you include the community in determining what facilities and amenities are needed?
- Golf courses count as green space and as park acres for residents, but the use is restricted to those playing a particular sport and paying a fee for service. What initiative do you support to make such spaces dual purpose or to expand usage to more residents?
- As Chicago deindustrializes and closes former industrial sites, like General Iron and Damen Silos, large swaths of land and former buildings can be re-imagined and re-developed. For example, with the recent closing of General Iron in Lincoln Park and the development of Lincoln Yards, neighborhood advocates have asked for the site to be used as part of a new North Branch Park and Preserve. The preserve could expand access to the river for the whole city and decrease the green space deficit the community currently experiences and will get worse with the added



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

residential density proposed for Lincoln Yards. How do you think comprehensively about the opportunity these sites and the transition across the city offers? How will you coordinate a vision across the city using these spaces to increase green space for all communities? How will you prioritize which neighborhoods or communities should experience development of these new de-industrialized green spaces first?

RESPONSE GREEN SPACE AND CREATING NEW PARKS:

I think there should be a city wide assessment of vacant and underutilized land. Many are currently emphasizing residential or commercial/industrial redevelopment. While we do need that type of redevelopment as well to grow our city, parks and green space absolutely need to be a part of the equation. Having a beautiful city with lots of great parks and green space is also good for attracting new businesses and families to Chicago as well. The two are not mutually exclusive.

I think there is ample potential for new parks to be built on former industrial and railroad land, and also on larger tracts of vacant land in or near residential areas. In our neighborhoods we often see children and families using unfenced vacant land for play and recreation, so clearly the demand is there but such spaces need to be organized into potential parkland or green space. We also should have an incentive program for landowners to develop green space open to the public.

3) LAKEFRONT

Chicago's lakefront is a gem of the city and our city is unique in preserving the lake for all people. Currently, 26 of the 30 miles are publicly available for use and protected for the public, but two miles to the north and south remain cut off from public parks, beaches, and paths.

- What policies do you support to ensure the lakefront remains free and clear for all Chicagoans to enjoy? Please consider both the preservation of space (such as the Lakefront Protection Ordinance and Public Trust Doctrine) and practices that affect use (such as facilities and policing).
- What efforts do you support to increase access to the city's lakefront by pedestrians, transit riders, cyclists, and people using wheelchairs and other mobility supports?

RESPONSE LAKEFRONT:

I support the development of park land on the renaming non-public access areas of the lakefront. In particular for the South Works site. I would also support the 606 trail connecting to bike paths that lead to the lakefront. This in particular would help people in my ward access the lake and other parts of the city. The Lakefront Protection Ordinance should be used whenever appropriate.

4) ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

In February 2020, Chicago declared a climate change emergency in response to severe storms and lakeshore erosion. Lakefront parks and beaches across the city suffer a battering of lake waves and the loss of sand and erosion at alarming rates. It is estimated that the storms of 2020 caused [\\$37 million in damages](#) to beaches and parks. Erosion, intense storms, and the failing of concrete revetments, metal girders and rock barriers installed by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers have caused [4 beaches in Roger Park](#) to be washed away and closed; revealed infill at Rainbow Beach as sand was lost; resulted lake water levels reached the top of the stairs at Calumet Park Beach House; and led to the permanent closing of a third of the [Northerly Island walking path](#)—merely a year after it was opened in 2015.

The threat is only going to continue. Concrete, metal and rock barriers push higher water to unprotected places. Lake levels have always fluctuated, but scientists believe lake levels will continue to trend higher. Regardless of lake level, the increased severity of storm surges due to climate change place our [shoreline communities at greater risk](#) of flooding and damage.

Nature-based solutions are a resilient, long-term, cost-effective method to manage the impact of climate change along the lake and across the city. This approach uses natural ecosystems to provide protection such as wetlands for flood mitigation; trees and prairie spaces to combat the heat island effect; and mangroves to reduce the impact of waves, storm surge, and coastal erosion. These ecosystems also soften climate impacts, such as extreme weather, and reduce climate pollution by capturing carbon dioxide from the air and sequestering it in plants and soils.

Parkland and beaches are already a nature-based solution. The sand, soil, and plantings provide buffering and absorption that helps manage the fluctuation in lake levels and more frequent and severe storms. These green spaces furnish lakefront with recreational or contemplative areas and habitats for humans and wildlife to enjoy.

- How will you support the preservation or expansion of parkland, native plants, and healthy, mature trees and new tree planting across the city to combat climate change impacts that affect the shoreline and inland communities?

RESPONSE ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE:

I think the aforementioned lakefront beaches should be helped by building a new beachfront at the new higher water levels. Funding should be in place to build new beaches and beach facilities. Also people in and near my ward have in the past often used the city's only inland beach at Humboldt Park which has also had troubles operating in recent years, I think resources should be used to keep that open and thriving as well.

5) COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND PARK ADVISORY COUNCILS



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

About 200 of Chicago's over 600 parks, beaches, playlots, and playgrounds have recognized Park Advisory Councils (PACs), resident groups of committed volunteers who support their parks through volunteerism, fundraising and other activities. Many PACs want influence over programs and maintenance and decisions about how parks will be used, but in reality, many feel left out of those decisions. At times, new permits are handed out for large events or art installations and PAC members or leadership don't find out until the event is underway or changes are being made to the park.

- Across the city there is no required or standard process around public notice and community input for park projects. How will you make sure that the public is informed and engaged in development, capital improvements, urban planning, large event or space rentals, and park planning decisions in your ward?
- How will you engage with Park Advisory Councils in urban planning, budgeting, funding decisions, considering capital improvement, determining park programming, and deciding what events or installations are placed in parks in your ward?
- How will you support the formation or the rebuilding of Park Advisory Councils in your ward?

RESPONSE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND PARK ADVISORY COUNCILS:

I would make a concerted effort to be informed about meetings and personally participate, or have myself or a member of my aldermanic staff attend the meetings. I would use my city email newsletters, website and social media to advertise Park Advisory Council meetings, as well as any meetings about developments in or near our parks. I will be starting up a Community Zoning Committee (other Aldermen have started doing this as well) where we will involve community members and stakeholders in all new development conversations. We would incorporate parks and green space in every development conversation.

6) PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE

The Park District generates most of its income from property taxes, and over the last decade, its share of those taxes has declined from 6.1% to 4.7%. The average property tax unit pays \$260 towards the Park District's budget.

To supplement income, the Chicago Park District has increased revenue generating activity – raising fees for harbor and golf courses; issuing permits and renting out parks for private festivals; contracting with more concessionaires or other vendors; adding billboard or advertising; renting space for Amazon lockers; increased renting out park buildings and facilities as special event spaces. Some of these activities close off parkland and public facilities for weeks during the summer and over popular weekends, damage park facilities, and create a distraction for park users who seek tranquility or a natural oasis. While some renters and permit holders repair park damage, it takes months for vegetation to regrow or capital improvements to be finished, impeding park use far beyond the closures.



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

Some of the most notable examples of these revenue generating activities have been new contracts with Lollapalooza, the NASCAR race planned for 2023, and mega-festivals in Douglass Park. Residents across the city cite a feeling that parks have been commercialized and are no longer public, community spaces for people.

- As park district spaces have refocused on revenue-generating outside events, many community partners and programs have been booted from park district buildings and grounds, or can no longer afford to use the space. What policies do you support to prioritize the use of park space for residents and community groups over revenue generating activities and improve community engagement by private groups seeking to operate for profit in public parks?
- The income from these events does not go to a specific park, but rather to the Park District budget as a whole, leaving some residents to feel their park bears the brunt of the burden without reaping benefits. How do we ensure the impact and benefits of these rental events or fees are spread equitably?
- In the need to find new funding sources or expand programming, the Park District often balances private or non-profit partners and community programming, users, or needs. At times the interests of these different park users can be at odds. One example is a private baseball program that would like to pay for and install new lights at [Welles Park](#), but some community members are opposed. How will you manage the competing interest of various stakeholders when money is involved?
- When permits are issued for large music festivals or events, like the NASCAR race, the Park District does not have to consult alderpeople, but the event requires resources and time from multiple city departments, such as the police department to manage crowds, CDOT to reorganize traffic, and Streets and Sanitation to clean up. What policies do you support to increase coordination between city agencies, community members, and the Park District when determining what large events happen in parks?
- To what extent should the Park District's budget rely on private, revenue generating events, vendors and concession stands, fees for service, advertisements (i.e. billboards), and renting the parks to for-profit groups?

RESPONSE PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE:

These concerns demonstrate why neighborhood parks are even more vital as more and more of the larger parks near major tourist areas are hosting such events. We need to find a way to ensure at least a portion of the event revenue goes back to the hosting park's budget. The community should also be informed about the costs and benefits of hosting such events - and be directly involved in the conversation. If the revenue generating events prevent property tax hikes that are hurting our working families, I am open to supporting them, BUT again with maximum community input and



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

ensuring maximum benefit to the community. As far as the Amazon lockers? Amazon is one of the biggest companies on the planet with historic and astronomical profit margins (especially since the COVID pandemic.) I will aggressively pursue significantly larger investments into our parks AND youth programs from Amazon, or we will remove those lockers immediately.

7) BUDGET PROCESS AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION

The Chicago Park District's annual budget process offers a public hearing, an online forum for input, and a vote at an open board meeting. It is questionable whether there is adequate space for resident input or sufficient power and influence ascribed to their input. Many park activists are unaware that the online forums exist or how they would have access them and they face barriers to attending the public meetings.

- The Chicago Park District should actively engage park stakeholders across the city in the budgeting process, particularly with the Capital Improvement Projects. Efforts should be made to understand and address any barriers to participation from historically disenfranchised populations. What will you do to help the Chicago Park District find ways to extend more budgetary control to the public and incorporate community participation into their annual budgets?
- Each year, the Park District accepts submissions for capital improvement requests from a variety of sources. More than one in three requests from elected officials are approved, while only about one in five requests from the public are approved. As an Alderman, how would you use your influence to support capital improvement requests for parks in your ward and across the city?
- Park Advisory Councils have advocated for Menu Money, Tax Increment Financing District Funding, Capital Improvement Programs, Open Space Impact Fees, and Special Service Area Program Funding as resources to supplement Park District funding. As Alderman you have access to and influence over a variety of city funding streams to support projects in your ward. How will you use your influence to support investment and improvement of parks in your ward and the city? What funding priorities would you promote?

RESPONSE BUDGET PROCESS AND RESOURCE ALLOCATION:

As a strong proponent of participatory democracy in all its forms, I will institute Participatory Budgeting for Menu money starting from my first day in office. I will not stop there. As Alderperson, I will introduce the democratic process to every decision made by my office including zoning and TIF determinations.

As Alderman, I will develop our own Ward project evaluation matrix and support projects that meet our community's predetermined criteria. One of the most important roles of an Alderman is as a



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

salesperson, and I would do whatever I can to attract park improvements to our neighborhood green spaces.

Our 30th Ward parks are some of the best in the City and yet many are starved of resources. That would change from day one if I were elected. Parks are the center of many of our neighborhoods and they should be funded appropriately.

8) PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES

In the South and West sides of the city, larger park or natural areas are not easily accessible by public transportation. Many parks and natural areas typically lack accessibility for people with disabilities, or only a portion are accessible. While some heavily-trafficked areas like the Lakefront trail may tend to have open and clean bathrooms, many other parks have dirty, locked, or no bathroom facilities. Without access to a bathroom, the time residents can spend or activities they can engage in at a park are limited. Some parks lack lights, clear parking spaces, signs at the entrance, multi-lingual signage or signage throughout to identify elements of the park. In some cases, roads leading into parks are blocked with gates and barricades at all hours.

- What steps will you take to ensure our parks, playlots, beaches, and trails are welcoming and accessible places for everyone?
- Pet owners bring their dogs to parks, playlots, paths, and beaches across the city. Dogs can also be a barrier to other residents' enjoyment or a threat to wildlife. How will you engage with various points of views about dogs in parks?
- As the Chicago Park District plans improvement and maintenance of fieldhouses, playgrounds, and other recreation facilities, how will you prioritize resources based on historic disinvestment faced by some parks and communities? How will you include the community in the decision-making process? How will a commitment to racial equity impact your actions?
- Field houses across the city are often closed on weekends and evenings. These closures mean people lack access to bathrooms, staff assistance or programming. Similarly, park bathrooms outside field house are often locked, or many parks have no bathroom. What will you do to make sure park field houses and the resource they provide can reach more residents?
- Often, new construction developments are allowed to use developer-owned "publicly accessible" green spaces to satisfy open space requirements rather than Chicago Park District-controlled land. When private corporations dictate the development, management, and public access to green spaces, it seldom leads to park spaces desired by Chicago. These privately administered spaces are subject to different operating hours and rules than local parks. Additionally, when departments in the City government collaborate for new development or



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

capital improvement to be "shared," the park users miss out on access or design of the space. An example is Whitney Young's Sports Complex, funded entirely with tax-increment financing. What is your vision to ensuring true public access to new green spaces, facilities, or amenities that are a funded with public dollars or promise public access during construction? How will you use your position to support that vision?

RESPONSE PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES:

First, I would support a citywide Park audit to see the state of conditions, cleanliness and accessibility. There is undoubtedly a difference in park quality and openness when you go to certain parts of the city, so there clearly is an equity issue at play. Accountability is a big part of why I am running. I come from an administrative and management background, and we need to be holding the Parks management and leadership accountable for these inequities, and take immediate action to correct it. I also would advocate for more open hours. Our working families and folks in our minority communities are extremely busy during the week - the weekend and evenings are when they most need access to parks.

As far as new construction developments with private interests dictating terms and usage of green and park space - I am against this. These parks belong to the residents and taxpayers of Chicago, and this needs to be made clear to all private interests seeking access. I would be a strong voice in the Council asking questions and demanding these things be put in strict legal writing with severe consequences if they do not meet their end of the bargain.

9) PARK PROGRAMMING

Annually, more than 350,000 people participate through the Chicago Park District in thousands of sports, recreational, cultural, and environmental programs across the city. Yet the distribution of programs is often inequitable and mismatched, failing to meet the needs of local communities effectively. Even preliminary research indicates dramatic inequities across the city in the amount of programs and services offered. At times, programs feel dropped into a community without community input or research. In addition, the programs families are looking to for their health, cultural, and recreation may also be financially out of reach.

Where processes existed under the 1983 Consent Decree to ensure equitably distributed and responsive programming, many parks now lack the programs that are culturally relevant and affordable.

- Parks can be essential resources for people of all ages in a community, especially because they offer classes, camps, pools, exercise equipment and facilities, and chances to socialize. What resources will you invest in parks to prioritize use by diverse populations – seniors, families and children, people with disabilities, and teenagers? How will you ensure park programming is culturally relevant to BIPOC communities that have experienced exclusion and disinvestment?



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- What will you do to support residents and community groups to have access to park space and programming for no or low cost?
- Parks across Chicago do not have the same access to sufficient, culturally relevant, and affordable programs or have space maintained to meet the needs of the community. What will you do to ensure equity in park programming and resources (facilities, maintenance, etc.) for parks in your ward and across Chicago, keeping in mind the historic underinvestment in West and South Side parks?
- How will you include the community in program design and program offering in their parks to make sure it is sufficient, relevant, and affordable and to proactively account for external community problems related to public safety and violence?

RESPONSE PARK PROGRAMMING:

Our Parks really are our community centers and they provide resources to our neighbors young and old. The activities at the parks should represent each individual community. As Alderperson, it is my duty to ensure our neighbors receive the services that they pay for. For park specifics, I would seek to empower and build Park Advisory Councils. For Ward-wide issues, we would form a new Ward-based Parks Council. I would also highlight our parks and promote them to different organizations to use. We will host mobile pop-up office hours at our parks as well to draw attention to them, and create an inviting and welcoming environment in our parks.

10) SAFETY

Feelings of safety and security greatly shape how park spaces are experienced. While some park users feel vulnerable when parks are too empty, others want quiet, wide-open, empty spaces. Some fear gun violence, and others fear oppressive police presence. Some are worried about playground conditions, and others are worried about vandalism. Some want more lights or call boxes. All of these are important considerations as we address safety concerns.

In the summer of 2022, as a response to the death of Chicago teenager, a curfew was enacted at Millennium Park to restrict event access to the park for young residents not accompanied by an adult or attending a ticketed event. In multiple Listening Tour sessions in different parts of Chicago, we heard similar statements that represent a specific loss of access to park amenities after violence occurred in their parks. If the response to select instances of violence in parks is to close, restrict, or remove certain amenities, this seems like the beginning of a very dangerous trend of the Chicago Park District punishing entire communities for the actions of a few.

- How will you approach safety in a way that does not unfairly punish entire communities for the behavior of the few?



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

- How would you ensure residents of all ages can access public amenities while balancing concerns for public safety?
- What steps will you take or policies will you support to increase the public safety of Chicago's parks, playlots, playgrounds, paths, and beaches beyond increasing police presence?

RESPONSE SAFETY:

As you point out in the question itself, this is a very difficult issue with valid concerns on all sides. Yes, in our ward and surrounding wards, there are families and youth who are afraid to go to the park because of gangs and other safety issues in the neighborhood. Police are part of the public safety solution, but not the only solution. This requires a comprehensive and balanced approach. I do favor police patrols near parks, but I would also like to incentivize local community organizations to get more involved in having presence at the parks to provide extra layers of presence and eyes for the families and park visitors, without relying heavily on police which – if too heavy a presence - can create an intimidation and anxiety inducing environment for some park visitors as well. The Parks need to be extremely well lit as well. As mentioned before, I would also have several of my mobile ward office pop-ups at parks to show the community we are not afraid, and show our involvement and love of the parks at a leadership level.

11) PARK DISTRICT OVERSIGHT

- The Chicago Park District is not a department of the city government, but a separate governmental entity. However, the Chicago Park District's Board of Commissioners' members are nominated by the mayor and must be approved by the Committee on Special Events, Cultural Affairs and Recreation. What policies do you support to make sure nominees have **demonstrated relevant experience, background and skills sets related to parks?**
- How will you use your role to provide oversight to the parks, playlots and beaches in your ward?

RESPONSE PARK DISTRICT OVERSIGHT:

I would encourage the Park District to build a formal evaluation process for prospective members in partnership with Friends of the Park. The Mayor should have the choice of having "pre-approved" candidates. I believe an Alderperson's main role in the parks is to attract resources to the parks themselves. Oversight of those parks should mainly be left to Park Council and CPD itself.

WARD SPECIFIC QUESTIONS

ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR **WARD 5, 7 AND 10**

- In 2022, a [community ballot referendum](#) in the 5th, 7th and 10th Wards asked the city to stop cutting down trees in Jackson Park and the area surrounding the South Shore Cultural Center passed by 82%. The trees are at risk due to the construction of the Obama Presidential Center



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

and the proposals to merge Jackson Park and the South Shore Cultural Center golf courses into a new PGA golf course. As Alderman, how will you work to support the community's preference to save the trees in Jackson Park, the South Shore Cultural Center, South Shore Nature Sanctuary, and the surrounding community areas?

ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR **WARD 10**

A toxic dump occupies 50 acres of shoreline on one of the last critical pieces of land on the South Side to complete Daniel Burnham's vision of a park system spanning the entire Chicago lakefront. The [Chicago Area Confined Disposal Facility \(CDF\)](#) sits on Lake Michigan between the Calumet River and Calumet Park in the 10th ward. The land is owned by the Chicago Park District but leased to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to store dredge until full. In 2022, the CDF maxed out the storage space and the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers has asked to expand the facility 25 feet vertically to keep it open.

The site, built in the 1980s, is a legacy of previous industrial uses of the river, the only industrial or toxic site on Chicago's shoreline, and is leaking into the Calumet River and Lake Michigan--leaking that could worsen with rising water levels and storm surges fueled by climate change.

- Chicago residents have waited almost 40 years for the land to be returned and created into a public park. As Alderman, what next steps do you support related to the CDF?

PARK PRIVATIZATION AND REVENUE ADDITIONAL QUESTION FOR **WARD 24, 25, and 28**

- What will you do increase community engagement about the decision to hold festivals in parks, how will you respond to stakeholder feedback, and what will you do to ensure the impact and benefits of these rental events or fees return to Douglass park?

NEW BUILDINGS IN PARKS **WARD 26**

In 2022 an unpermitted, unapproved building project was undertaken in a non-democratic process with state funds by the National Museum of Puerto Rican Arts & Culture in historic Humboldt Park next to the landmarked former stables building (and office of Jens Jensen).

- Please disclose any formal or informal leadership roles in the community that you have held or currently hold that relate to National Museum of Puerto Rican Arts & Culture and their plan to develop structures on public parkland.
- What did you know about or how were you involved with this project before construction was halted in September 2022?
- How will you offer leadership to remedy this situation?



2023 CHICAGO MUNICIPAL ELECTION QUESTIONNAIRE

ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FOR **WARD 48 & 49**

- What will you do to support vulnerable shoreline communities from the threat of flooding, erosion, and the impact of climate change?

PARK ACCESSIBILITY, FACILITIES, & AMENITIES ADDITIONAL QUESTION **WARD 49**

- [Touhy Park](#) has seen an encampment in the park for almost 2 years. The Park District has moved some activities to neighboring parks. The Police Department and the City has a policy not to evict people staying on public land. What policies and actions do you support to address this encampment that will manage the needs of the various people involved?