

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	CIVIL ACTION
)	NO. 82 C 7308
v.)	
)	
CHICAGO PARK DISTRICT, et al.,)	JUDGE H.D. LEINENWEBER
)	
Defendants.)	
_____)	

AMENDMENT NO. 2 TO CONSENT DECREE

By consent and agreement, the plaintiff and defendants have jointly applied to the Court pursuant to paragraph 44 of the Consent Decree entered December 7, 1983 for an order amending that decree to (1) reallocate annual capital bond funds expenditures so as to address current and long-term needs of the Park District in a manner consistent with both the objectives of the Decree and current Park District policy, (2) change the designation of Implementation Committee members to reflect the current Park District organizational structure and to add a member of the Board of Park Commissioners, and (3) establish a new date after which either party may seek to have this action dismissed and the Consent Decree and all amendments thereto vacated. Accordingly, upon good cause stated:

It is hereby ORDERED that:

1. Notwithstanding any contrary provision in the Consent Decree and its Amendment No.1, the Chicago Park District shall

commit and expend from the Capital Improvement Bond Fund ("Capital Funds") at least \$18,250,000.00 in the 1988 fiscal year for "bricks and mortar" and land as follows:

\$5	million for facilities rehabilitation,
\$2.5	million for new facilities,
\$2.5	million for landscape improvements,
\$2.5	million for playground rehabilitation,
\$5	million for city-wide and specialized facilities improvements, and,
\$0.75	million for land acquisition,
\$_.__	million for facilities for Host Park implementation.

In addition, the Park District is authorized to expend \$2.5 million from the Capital Fund in fiscal year 1988 for architectural, engineering and other design and inspection costs.

2(A). For purposes of this amendment, "facilities rehabilitation" shall include, but not be limited to, the repair and rehabilitation of fieldhouses, swimming pools, athletic fields, small park buildings, and baseball diamonds; the paving of walkways and parking areas; the repair and erection of lighting improvements; the purchase and installation of recreational equipment and furniture; and the purchase of repair and construction materials.

2(B). For purposes of this amendment, "new facilities" shall be limited to fieldhouse construction, replacements and

major additions, and the construction of outdoor pools, natatoriums, athletic fields and playgrounds.

2(C). For purposes of this amendment, "landscape improvements" shall include, but not be limited to, the rehabilitation of worn landscaped areas, placement and replacement of trees and the restoration of landscaping at construction sites.

2(D). For purpose of this amendment, "playground rehabilitation" shall include, but not be limited to, the repair or rehabilitation of worn out and obsolete equipment, the replacement of hard surfaces with soft surfaces, and landscaping and miscellaneous playground site improvements.

2(E). For purposes of this amendment, "city-wide and specialized facilities improvements" shall include, but not be limited to, improvements to the Petrillo Music Shell, Buckingham Fountain, conservatories, nurseries, golf courses, beach houses, zoos, stadium service facilities, harbors, sports centers, administrative buildings, facilities for the handicapped, theaters and other specialized program facilities.

2(F). For purposes of this amendment, "land acquisition" shall include, but not be limited to, acquisition of real property, vacant or improved, by purchase or lease.

2(G). For purposes of this amendment, "facilities for Host Park implementation" shall include, but not be limited to, construction of tennis and basketball courts, athletic, game and other activity areas, and any other new construction not defined or otherwise provided for elsewhere in this amendment. For

fiscal year 1988, no capital funds are specifically allocated to this category. However, it is anticipated that allocations will be made in subsequent fiscal years as the Park District implements a new parks management concept of Host Parks.

3(A). Attached hereto as Appendix G is the 1988 schedule for expenditures of Capital Funds for Facilities Rehabilitation. In advance of the beginning of each subsequent fiscal year, a new schedule of Facilities Rehabilitation shall be presented to the Implementation Committee and counsel for the United States for their joint approval. In preparing such schedules the Park District shall be guided by professional engineering and architectural surveys which identify and establish the relative priority of the rehabilitation and capital improvement needs within this category. Such surveys shall not neglect the parks and physical structures therein of any geographic area of the City. Emergency work may be added to and priorities reordered during the course of a fiscal year upon approval of the Implementation Committee after notice to and approval of counsel for the United States. Likewise, new work may be added to and priorities reordered during a fiscal year to enable the Park District to receive grant money or other funds which otherwise would be lost, provided any such additions and changes are approved by the Implementation Committee after notice to and approval of counsel for the United States.

3(B). During fiscal year 1988, in the category of New Facilities, gymnasium additions and fieldhouse expansions shall

be undertaken at Harrison Park, Mozart Park and Kilbourn Park, provided that if an agreement has not been reached by May 1, 1988 with the Chicago Board of Education for the use by the Park District of a Board of Education facility in the Stony Island Park area, Stony Island Park may be substituted at the discretion of the Park District for Kilbourn Park and designated for a new fieldhouse. In addition, experimental fabric or other covering shall be installed to enclose the present outdoor swimming pools at Dvorak Park, Fuller Park and California Park to determine the feasibility of thereby converting those and like facilities into natatoriums. Also during fiscal year 1988, should an appropriate site be designated or acquired, necessary funds out of this category may be utilized to rehabilitate or otherwise create a City-wide soccer facility.

Attached hereto as Appendix H is a description of a "Statistical Ranking System Based On Estimates Of Need." For purposes of illustration, the description is written in reference to the determination of fieldhouse needs. However, the system described shall be adopted and used by the Park District to identify parks and establish their relative needs for (1) fieldhouse acquisition, construction, or expansion, (2) outdoor pool construction, (3) natatorium construction or conversion, (4) athletic field construction, and (5) new playgrounds. The method of applying, and the weights to be given, the measurements of need used in the statistical ranking system shall be agreed upon by the Implementation Committee and counsel for the United

States by July 1, 1988, provided, that minimum acreage requirements shall be set at not less than one acre, all parks exceeding the minimum acreage requirement which do not have available the type or size of facility under consideration must be included in the analysis, and, if not equally weighted, none of the five measures of need shall be given more than double the weight of the least weighted measure.

By August 1, 1988, the Implementation Committee shall apply the statistical ranking system to determine separately the identity and order of parks in which each type of new construction indentified above shall be undertaken in future fiscal years. The resulting schedules of construction, before final adoption by the Implementation Committee, shall be submitted to counsel for the United States for their approval. Thereafter, should the Park District acquire new acreage suitable for any of the above types of facilities, the statistical ranking system shall be again applied with the new acreage included to determine if a different order of construction priority based on need results.

Any proposal for a new facility of a type different from those defined as "new facilities" by paragraph 2(B) above, which has a "brick and mortar" cost estimate of more than \$0.5 million, shall be submitted to the Implementation Committee and counsel for the United States for their joint approval. Any such proposal is entitled to approval so long as it is neither inconsistent with the purpose and objectives of this litigation as set

forth in paragraph 1 of the Consent Decree, nor to be funded from, or jeopardize, the Capital Funds dedicated by paragraph 1 above (for 1988 fiscal year) or paragraph 4 below (for subsequent fiscal years).

3(C). Attached hereto as Appendix I is the schedule of Landscape Improvements to be undertaken in fiscal year 1988. Prior to the beginning of each subsequent fiscal year for so long as the Consent Decree and this amendment thereto remain in effect, the Park District shall submit to the Implementation Committee and counsel for the United States for their joint approval a proposed schedule of Landscape Improvements for that fiscal year. In establishing such schedules, the Park District shall consider all parks in the City suitable for the type of improvement under consideration and, in deciding upon the specific parks to be designated, apply objective criteria or measures of need.

3(D). Attached hereto as Appendix J is a schedule of the Playground Rehabilitations to be undertaken in fiscal year 1988. In each subsequent fiscal year for which the Consent Decree and this amendment remain in effect, the Park District shall undertake at least one rehabilitation in every community area of the City for as long as there remains therein a playground that has not been rehabilitated. The resulting schedules for those fiscal years shall be submitted to the Implementation Committee and counsel for the United States for their joint approval.

3(E). Attached hereto as Appendix K is a schedule of the City-wide and Specialized Facilities Improvements to be undertaken in fiscal year 1988. Prior to each subsequent fiscal year for which the Consent Decree and this amendment remain in effect, the Park District shall submit to the Implementation Committee and counsel for the United States for their joint approval the proposed projects in this category for the coming fiscal year. In proposing such projects, the Park District shall be mindful of the intent of the Consent Decree that Capital Funds be expended in a manner which is does not discriminate on the basis of race or national origin as between the community areas of the City.

3(F). Because proposed land acquisitions, if prematurely made public, can become the subject of speculation to the financial detriment of the Park District, those proposed to be made in fiscal year 1988 are not listed herein. However, they shall be submitted to the Implementation Committee and counsel for the United States for their joint approval, as shall any proposed land acquisitions to be made in subsequent fiscal years for so long as the Consent Decree and this amendment thereto remain in effect.

4. In subsequent fiscal years for which the Consent Decree and this amendment thereto are in effect, the total commitment and expenditures of Capital Funds shall be no less than \$15 million and shall be apportioned among the categories identified in paragraph 1 above, in the same proportion as provided in that

paragraph, except as approved by the Implementation Committee after notice to and approval by counsel for the United States.

5. The membership of the Implementation Committee is hereby changed to the following Park District employees:

- a. Executive Vice President,
- b. Deputy General Superintendent of Finance and Management Services,
- c. Deputy General Superintendent for Parks and Recreation,
- d. General Attorney,
- e. Assistant to the General Superintendent,
- f. One member of the Board of Commissioners of the Chicago Park District.

6. At any time after November 1, 1990, the United States or the defendants, Chicago Park District, et al., may move for the dissolution of the Consent Decree and all amendments thereto and for the dismissal of this action upon a showing of (1) past compliance with the Consent Decree and all amendments thereto and (2) the then current existence of systems and procedures for the allocation of resources and assets consistent with the purpose and objectives of this litigation as set forth in paragraph 1 of the Consent Decree.

7. Except as any provision thereof is inconsistent with and thereby amended by this Amendment No. 2, the Consent Decree as previously amended shall remain in full force and effect until dissolved.


ORDERED this ____ day of _____, 1988.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

AGREED and CONSENTED to:


CHICAGO PARK DISTRICT, et al.,

By:



Nancy L. Kaszak
General Attorney

UNITED STATES of AMERICA,

By:


Margaret C. Gordon
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Northern District of Illinois

By:


Robert T. Moore
Attorney
Department of Justice
Washington, D.C. 20530

APPENDIX G - FACILITIES REHABILITATION

PARK NO.	PARK NAME	WORK	ESTIMATED COST
259	ABBOTT	ROOF LEAKS	8,000.00
13	PALMER	ROOF REPAIRS	12,000.00
320	ROBICHAUX	REPLACE ROOF	120,000.00
272	WENDELL SMITH PLGD.	REPAIR LEAKS	2,000.00
244	GATELY	REPAIR ROOF LEAKS	15,000.00
17	MANN	REPAIR LEAKS	8,000.00
305	LECLAIRE COURT	REPLACE ROOF - LEAKS	80,000.00
370	STARS & STRIPES	REPAIR LEAKS	1,000.00
238	WENTWORTH	REPLACE ROOF	25,000.00
1029	DONOVAN	REPAIR ROOF LEAKS	8,000.00
1063	THROOP	REPLACE SHINGLES MISSING	1,000.00
234	HALE	INSTALL GUTTER	3,000.00
245	WEST LAWN	REPAIR BROKEN PIPES	1,000.00
1053	MURRAY PLGD.	REPAIR LEAKS	20,000.00
264	BOGAN	REPAIR GUTTERS	5,000.00
33	RAINEY	NEW ROOF	BD. OF ED.
1046	MARSHFIELD	ROOF LEAKS - NEW ROOF	8,000.00
386	GARRETT-MORGAN	ROOF LEAKS - NEW GYM	45,000.00
179	GRAVER	NEW GUTTERS	3,000.00
175	RIDGE	EXPOSED WIRING	8,000.00
177	BRAINERD	REPAIR ROOF LEAKS	6,000.00
235	OAKDALE	REPAIR DOWNSPOUTS	2,000.00
251	MT. GREENWOOD	REPLACE SHINGLES	108,000.00
1013	LEONE	ROOF LEAKS	10,000.00
1259	LOYOLA	ROOF LEAKS	10,000.00
166	POTTAWATTOMIE	FIELDHOUSE ROOF - REPLACE	150,000.00
167	CHIPPEWA	SPRAY POOL	1,000.00
110	WELLES	ROOF LEAKS	3,000.00
185	REVERE	FIELDHOUSE - ROOF LEAKS	12,000.00
1074	WRIGHTWOOD	NEW ROOF	35,000.00
107	LAKE SHORE	NEW ROOF	50,000.00
1038	INDIAN ROAD	REPAIR DOORS & WINDOWS AND KITCHEN FOUNDATION	4,000.00
141	NORWOOD	REPAIR GYM FLOOR	15,000.00
93	GLADSTONE	REPLACE MISSING EQUIPMENT	20,000.00
82	JENSEN	INSTALL NEW ROOF	45,000.00
258	DUNHAM	REPAIR LEAKS	15,000.00
80	ATHLETIC FIELD	REPAIR LEAKS	12,000.00
83	INDEPENDENCE	REPAIR TILE ROOF	80,000.00
229	HIAWATHA	REPAIR ROOF LEAKS	80,000.00
127	RUTHERFORD-SAYRE	REPLACE ROOF	30,000.00
126	KELVYN	REPAIR GYM WALL LEAKS	20,000.00
203	HOLSTEIN	REPAIR ROOF	5,000.00
120	KOSCIUSZKO	REPAIR ROOF	60,000.00
407	ORR	REPAIR GYM ROOF	10,000.00
205	SHERIDAN	ROOF LEAK REPAIR	10,000.00
213	HARRISON	ROOF LEAKS	10,000.00
24	GRANT	REPAIR ROOF	8,000.00

34	NORTHERLY ISLAND	NEW ROOF	8,000.00
271	ROBERT TAYLOR	ROOF LEAKS	100,000.00
			<hr/>
			\$1,292,000.00
12	BESSEMER	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT	80,000.00
219	HUMBOLDT	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT	80,000.00
23	MC KINLEY	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT	80,000.00
141	NORWOOD	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT	80,000.00
109	STANTON	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT	120,000.00
1005	BLOCK-GRIFFITH NAT.	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT #2	120,000.00
22	GAGE	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT #3	80,000.00
1009	JACKSON NAT.	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT #1	120,000.00
147	PORTAGE	OUTDR. POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT	120,000.00
13	PALMER	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT #10	120,000.00
210	UNION	SWIM POOL FILTER REPLACEMENT #9	120,000.00
65	FERNWOOD	ATHLETIC FIELD REHAB.	75,000.00
1041	KENSINGTON	ATHLETIC FIELD REHAB.	70,000.00
235	OAKDALE	ATHLETIC FIELD REHAB.	95,000.00
1030	FOSCO	ATHLETIC FIELD REHAB.	50,000.00
1064	TILTON	ATHLETIC FIELD REHAB.	50,000.00
1000	DISTRICT WIDE	JOINT PROGRAM-FACILITIES REHAB.	450,000.00
8	OGDEN	FIELDHOUSE REHABILITATION	55,000.00
			<hr/>
			\$1,965,000.00
41	EUGENE FIELD	BALCONY REPAIRS	25,000.00
204	GARFIELD	AD. BLDG.- ROOF REPAIR	500,000.00
21	WASHINGTON	REROOFING	115,000.00
195	SAUGANASH	REROOF GYM BLDG/CONNECTG ROOF	25,000.00
26	FOSTER	SWIM POOL REPAIRS (NATATORIUM)	7,000.00
217	PULASKI	POOL REPAIRS	105,000.00
1204	AUSTIN	POOL LINER	50,000.00
7	SHERMAN	POOL PAINTING & REPAIRS	36,500.00
12	BESSEMER	SWIM POOL - LINER REPAIR	4,000.00
209	COLUMBUS	SWIM POOL - REPAIR DECK	30,000.00
266	STATEWAY	SWIM POOL REPAIRS	13,500.00
18	TULEY	POOL PAINTING & REPAIRS	34,000.00
189	CALIFORNIA	SWIM POOL REPAIRS	25,000.00
24	GRANT	LINCOLN STATUTE-PH IV (GRANT)	10,000.00

28	MADDEN	SWIM POOL-LINER REPAIRS	8,000.00
147	PORTAGE	INDOOR POOL WALL REPAIRS	25,000.00
201	LAFOLLETTE	ENTRANCE STAIR REPLACEMENT	17,000.00
187	BRAND	CLUBROOMS-HEATING/VENTILATION	9,500.00
115	LOYOLA	FOOT SHOWERS	6,000.00
48	KENNEDY	POOL PAINTING & REPAIRS	30,000.00
6	RUSSELL SQ.	POOL REPAIRS	55,000.00
1026	CLARK PLAYGROUND	DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS	75,000.00
209	COLUMBUS	SANDBLAST FIBERGLASS POOL	75,000.00
33	RAINEY	TENNIS COURT RESURFACING	12,000.00
273	LERNER	TENNIS CT. REHABILITATION	15,000.00
45	ADA	SWIM POOL REPAIRS	22,000.00
126	KELVYN	GYM FLOOR REPLACEMENT	35,000.00
201	LAFOLLETTE	SECURITY & WALK LIGHTING	85,000.00
100	LINCOLN	NORTH LAGOON LIGHTING	160,000.00
83	INDEPENDENCE	NATATORIUM - PAINTING	11,000.00
108	SEWARD	WINDOW GUARDS	35,000.00
1255	BERGER	COACH HOUSE - FLOORING	8,000.00
83	INDEPENDENCE	REPLACE TILE FLOOR	80,000.00
			<u>\$1,885,500.00</u>
			<u><u>\$5,142,500.00</u></u>

Appendix H

Statistical Ranking System Based on Estimates of Need

PRIORITIES FOR NEW FIELDHOUSES AND GYM ADDITIONS

One hundred thirty-six Chicago Parks without gymnasium facilities (type A or B) were ranked according to an estimate of their need for a new fieldhouse or gymnasium addition. Only parks of one acre or more were considered appropriate because this is the minimum space required for construction. Some parks larger than one acre were eliminated from consideration because the shape or location of the park was not suitable for a new structure. A list of these sites with the rationale for their elimination is attached. Parks with recreation programs in jointly operated gymnasiums were also eliminated from the list of prospective parks. The recreation programs in these parks were comparable to those operated in type A and type B Park District facilities.

The estimate of the each park's need for a new facility was based on five criteria:

- 1) Size of the Park in Acres (ACRES) - Smaller parks are considered less appropriate for fieldhouses because other activities in small parks must be displaced in order to build a fieldhouse. Displacing other activities is not a problem for parks of 12 acres or more. Thus, a modified acres variable was created [MOD(ACRES)]. The value of 12 acres was used for parks with 12 or more acres. The actual value of acres was used for parks with less than 12 acres.
- 2) Population within a 3/4 Mile Radius (POP) - 1980 census tract data was used to estimate the population within a 3/4 mile radius of each park under consideration. A highly populated area has greater the need for a new fieldhouse or gymnasium addition.
- 3) Proximity of the Nearest Facility (PROX) - The distance of each park to the nearest Park District fieldhouse was measured. The distances to facilities jointly operated with the Board of Education or the Chicago Housing Authority were also taken into account. Parks with nearby facilities were considered less appropriate.
- 4) Fieldhouses (FHS) - The number of Park District and jointly operated fieldhouses within a 3/4 mile radius was another measure of need. Parks with fewer nearby facilities have higher priority.

- 5) Community Median Income (INC) - The median income of the community area in which the park is located was tabulated from 1980 census data. This criteria was modified to incorporate the following two concerns: a) low median income level is a positive factor in estimating the need for a new fieldhouse and, b) communities with high income levels should not be penalized. A modified income variable [MOD(INC)] was created using the average median income as the highest possible score. Actual values were used for below average scores.

The priority for each park was determined by measuring how much an individual park's estimate of need was above or below the average need of all the parks under consideration. Equal weight was given to all five criteria by creating standardized scores.

The mean (u) and the standard deviation (std) of the first four criteria was calculated for all parks involved in the analysis. The mean and standard deviation of the fifth criteria, community income, was calculated for the 77 communities areas in Chicago. This avoided the problem of double counting income in communities with more than one park. Using the mean, standard deviation, and the values for each park (x), standardized scores, one for each criteria in each park, were calculated for each park according to the following formula:

$$\text{standardized score} = (x-u)/(std)$$

The sign for the standardized scores of fieldhouses (FHS) and median income MOD(INC) were reversed because a positive deviation from the mean is associated with a low priority for a new facility and a negative deviation from the mean is given a high priority. The standardized score for MOD(INC) was doubled so that this criterion continued to receive equal weight with the other criteria. MOD(INC) did not have any negative deviations because the mean was used as the highest score.

Finally, the total of the five standardized scores of each park was used to determine its rank order.

The analysis described above was run ten times in order to determine the priority list for the top ten parks. Each time the analysis was run, the first ranked park was removed as if it had a new facility. The proximity variable was changed for any parks that were close to proposed facility. The number of fieldhouses within a 3/4 mile radius was also changed when appropriate.

APPENDIX I.

LANDSCAPE IMPROVEMENTS

Regional Parks

Columbus Park	\$500,000
Douglas Park	
Formal Water Court Area	200,000
General Park Planting	200,000
Grant Park	
Buckingham Fountain Table - Perennial Gardens	100,000
Butler Field - Music Shell	275,000
Burnham Park - Promontory Point	250,000
South Shore Cultural Center	75,000
Lincoln Park	50,000
Garfield Park - Band Stand Area	200,000
Washington Park	50,000

Host Parks

13 Host Parks	350,000
Auburn Park	<u>50,000</u>

\$2,500,000

APPENDIX J.

Playground Rehabilitation

Community Area

Park

- | | |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. Rogers Park | - Kiwanis 1043 |
| 2. West Ridge | - Indian Boundary |
| 3. Uptown | - Chase Park |
| 4. Lincoln Square | - River |
| 5. North Center | - Hamlin |
| 6. Lake View | - South Lake View |
| 7. Lincoln Park | - Trebes |
| 8. Near North Side | - Seward |
| 9. Edison Park | - Edison |
| 10. Norwood Park | - Norwood Playground |
| 11. Jefferson Park | - Jefferson Park |
| 12. Forest Glen | - Wildwood |
| 13. North Park | - North Park |
| 14. Albany Park | - North Mayfair |
| 15. Portage Park | - Portage |
| 16. Irving Park | - Independence |
| 17. Dunning | - Hiawatha |
| 18. Montclare | - Rutherford-Square |
| 19. Belmont Cragin | - Riis |
| 20. Hermosa | - Hermosa |
| 21. Avondale | - Brands |
| 22. Logan Square | - Haas |
| 23. Humboldt | - Harding Plt. |
| 24. West Town | - Humboldt |
| 25. Austin | - Galewood |
| 26. West Garfield | - West End |
| 27. East Garfield Park | - Altgeld |
| 28. Near West Side | - Fosco |
| 29. North Lawndale | - Franklin |
| 30. South Lawndale | - Shedd |
| 31. Lower West Side | - Duorak |
| 32. Loop | - Grant |
| 33. Near South Side | (Sand Surface) |
| 34. Armour Square | - Sun Yat-Sen |
| 35. Douglas | - Madden |
| 36. Oakland | - Ellis |
| 37. Fuller Park | - Malus |
| 38. Grand Boulevard | - Harding Playground |
| 39. Kenwood | - Kenwood |
| 40. Washington Park | - Indian Boundary |
| 41. Hyde Park | - Nichols |
| 42. Woodlawn | - Harper |
| 43. South Shore | - Rainbow |
| 44. Chathan | - Cole |
| 45. Avalon | - No. 405 |
| 46. South Chicago | - Redbud |

APPENDIX J.

Playground Rehabilitation (Cont'd)

Community Area

Park

- | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| 47. Burnside | - No. 363 |
| 48. Calumet Heights | - Stoney Island |
| 49. Roseland | - Abbott |
| 50. Pullman | - Langley |
| 51. South Deering | - Bensley |
| 52. East Side | - Wolfe |
| 53. West Pullman | - Cooper |
| 54. Riverdale | - Golden Gate |
| 55. Hegewisch | - Avenue "M" |
| 56. Garfield Ridge | - Normandy |
| 57. Archer Heights | - Archer |
| 58. Brighten Park | - No. 426 |
| 59. McKinley Park | - McKinley |
| 60. Bridgeport | - McGuane |
| 61. New City | - Sherman |
| 62. West Elsdon | - Pasteur |
| 63. Gage Park | - Gage |
| 64. Clearing | - Minuteman |
| 65. West Lawn | - West Lawn |
| 66. Chicago Lawn | - Marquette |
| 67. West Englewood | - Murray |
| 68. Englewood | - Hamilton |
| 69. Great Grand Crossing | - Memorial |
| 70. Ashburn | - Scottsdale |
| 71. Auburn Gresham | - O'Hallaren |
| 72. Beverly | - Graver |
| 73. Washington HB | - Mt. Vernon |
| 74. Mt. Greenwood | - McKiernan |
| 75. Morgan Park | - Blackwelder |
| 76. O'Hare | - (No Playlots) |
| 77. Edgewater | - Berger |

Appendix K

OBJECTIVE 5 - CITY WIDE FACILITIES REHABILITATION & RESTORATION*

(Includes Grant Funded Projects)

POTENTIAL PROJECTS LIST
December 17, 1987

= REGIONAL PARK *** PRELIMINARY ESTIM
+ = PARK OF CONCERN

OBJ #	COMM AREA	PARK NO.	PARK NAME	DESCRIPTION	ESTIMATED COST
5	7 #	100	LINCOLN	Cons.Reno./ Heating Sys. Ph II	\$120,000.00
5	27 # +	204	GARFIELD - Cons	Heat Sys Rehab - Ph V (Labor)	\$80,000.00
5	7 #	100	LINCOLN	Cons.Reno/Heating Sys. Ph III	\$120,000.00
5	7 #	100	LINCOLN	Zoo Lion House (Supp)	\$525,000.00
5	27 # +	204	GARFIELD - Cons	Heat Sys Rehab - Ph V (Matrls)	\$60,000.00
5	27 # +	204	GARFIELD - Cons	Electrical Repairs-Ph III	\$125,000.00
5	27 # +	204	GARFIELD - Cons	Misc. Repairs - Phase II	\$105,000.00
5		1000	DISTRICT WIDE	50/50 Walk	\$75,000.00
5	27 # +	204	GARFIELD	Garage Building - Roof	\$400,000.00
5		1000	DISTRICT WIDE	Environmental Programs-Ph.I	\$500,000.00
5	33 # +	27	BURNHAM-AD BLDG	Admin. Bldg. Rehabilitation	\$145,000.00
5	32 #	24	GRANT	Buckingham Flr Repairs/Stairs	\$45,000.00
5	7 #	100	LINCOLN	Zoo Rookery Rehab. - Phase I	\$50,000.00
5		1000	DISTRICT WIDE	Service Facilities Rehab.	\$500,000.00
5	7 #	100	LINCOLN	Zoo Lion Hse-Ovl to S. Reptile	\$350,000.00
5	7 #	100	LINCOLN	Zoo Bird Hse Intr-Grant Shared	\$1,500,000.00
5		1000	DISTRICT WIDE	Emergency Projects	\$200,000.00
5	27 # +	204	GARFIELD	Conser. Rehab. In. Area-Ph. I	\$100,000.00
					<u>\$5,000,000.00</u>

*Not in order of Priority